Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology All Rights Reserved Euresian Publication © 2015 eISSN 2249 0256 Available Online at: www.environmentaljournal.org 2015 Volume 5, Issue 2: 79-89

Open Access

Research Article

Effects of Seasonal Variation on Major Ion Chemistry of Pahuj Reservoir, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Sudarshana Sharma¹, Krishna Kumar Yadav¹, Neha Gupta¹, Chanchal Verma², Vinit Kumar¹ and Sandeep Arya¹

¹ Department of Environmental Sciences, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi, India ²Department of Environmental Sciences, Gurukul Kangri University, Haridwar, India

Corresponding author: envirokrishna@gmail.com

Abstract:

Pahuj Reservoir, situated at north east part of district Jhansi, is the sole source of drinking water for the local people. The geochemical water quality of the reservoir was found under the influence of seasonal variation due to phosphate carbonate rock weathering and atmospheric precipitation. Hence, a detailed and systematic study was carried out to assess the concentration of major ions like HCO_3^- , Cl^- , $SO_4^{-2}^-$, $PO_4^{-3}^-$, NO_3^- , Ca^{2+}^+ , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ in reservoir for pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon in 2010. Through the statistical analysis it was observed that concentration of major ions in reservoir was found higher in pre-monsoon followed by post-monsoon and monsoon.

Keywords: Ion, Physicochemical, Reservoir, Seasonal Variation

1.0 Introduction:

Among all the renewable resource, water is unique (Kumar et. al., 2005) as the water quality directly affects the human welfare (Yadav et. al., 2012). Monitoring of water resource is of prime importance in developing countries like India as there water is commonly of economical and social significance (Tiwari and Singh, 2014). Indiscriminate disposal and discharge of industrial and domestic effluent caused various diseases due to the addition of high nutrient content, pathogens and hazardous chemicals in water (Yadav et. al., 2014).

A number of water bodies are found in India but most of them are anthropogenic typically tropics and very few are natural. Rivers, lakes and streams provide water supply for domestic, industrial and agricultural activities (Yusuf et. al., 2013). Thus water chemistry plays vital role to determine its use for industrial, domestic, agricultural purpose. The chemistry of surface water is regulated by evaporation, precipitation, rock weathering, and crystallization (Gibbs, 1970). Many factors like climate change, topography, soil characteristics, human activities on the ground, manner of water circulation through the rock etc. controls the quality of water. Apart from these factors, the interaction between the surface water and the adjacent groundwater may also play vital role in determining the quality of the water.

Anthropogenic activities and changes in climate have changed the chemistry of water significantly several many years (Gopal, 2005). A number of lakes are found in north part of India are eutrophic due to yearly alteration in pattern of land-use (Chakrapani, 2005). Contaminants are reached in water catchment and hold by soil then transported to water body and uptake by living organisms and accumulate in the deposits/sediments (Njenga et. al., 2003). The water chemistry and type of pollutant changes the nature of the contaminants in the water column (Gopal, 2005).

Pahuj Reservoir is an important water resource in the Jhansi district as the water of Pahuj Reservoir is supplied in city for domestic purpose, fishing and agricultural activities etc. An experimental study on water chemistry of Pahuj Reservoir has not been done yet. The motive of the present study was to identify the effect of seasonal variation on major ion chemistry of Pahuj Reservoir. Major ion chemistry

explains the origin of solutes among anions and cations in water (Demile et. al., 2007; Tay, 2012; Wirmvem et. al., 2013).

2.0 Material and Methods:

2.1. Study Area:

Pahuj Reservoir lies at an approximate distance of 5 km from Jhansi city in north-west direction. The reservoir was established in 1909 and has a gross storage capacity of 18.25 MCM at full reservoir level. The length and height of the reservoir is 2040 m and 10.67 m respectively. The reservoir lies at 25°29'49.97"N latitude and 78°31'45.01"E longitude. The location of reservoir and sampling site is shown in Figure 1. The average annual rainfall is 850.1mm. The climate of the region is sub-humid and characterized by hot dry summer and cold winter. The reservoir is surrounded by steep hill slopes on three sides and it is artificially blocked on the other side.



Figure 1: Map showing location of sampling sites

2.2. Collection of Samples:

Collection of water samples was done in premonsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon i.e. May, August and December 2010 respectively from 10 different locations. Triplicate of each sample were collected from each sampling site to remove the sampling error. During the collection of water samples, the water samples were filtered on site every time using millipore filter of 0.45 and stored in pre-cleaned polypropylene bottles washed with nitric acid and rinsed with milli-Q water and labeled them properly. The polypropylene bottles were also washed with sample water at site prior to collect the sample. The samples collected for analysis of cation were acidified by 2 M HNO₃ to preserve them.

2.3. Chemical Analysis:

The pH, Electrical Conductivity, Optical Redox Potential, Total Dissolved Solids and Dissolved Oxygen were estimated on site by portable biocraft water analyzing kit viz model NPC 362D. The different methods were used to estimate the different parameter. The method of estimation of each parameter is given below in Table 1. Sample analysis was done by method given in APHA, 1994 using reagents of merck having high purity and milli-Q water of Milli-Q and Biocel model.

| Table-1: Estimation method of analyzed parameter | Fable-1: Estimatio | n method of | analyzed | parameters |
|--|--------------------|-------------|----------|------------|
|--|--------------------|-------------|----------|------------|

| Parameter | Estimation Method | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dissolved | Winkler's method | | | | | | | |
| Oxygen | | | | | | | | |
| HCO ₃ | Acid titration | | | | | | | |
| Cl | Silver nitrate titration | | | | | | | |
| SO4 ²⁻ | Turbidimetric method | | | | | | | |
| PO ₄ ³⁻ | Ascorbic acid method | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ | UV spectrophotometric | | | | | | | |
| | method | | | | | | | |
| Dissolved | Molybdosilicate method | | | | | | | |
| Silica | | | | | | | | |
| Ca ²⁺ | Titration method | | | | | | | |
| Mg ²⁺ | Titration method | | | | | | | |
| Na ⁺ | Flame photometric method | | | | | | | |
| K ⁺ | Flame photometric method | | | | | | | |

2.4. Statistical Analysis:

Pearson correlation was calculated to assess the relationship among various physicochemical parameters.

3.0 Result and Discussion:

3.1 Hydrochemistry of Surface Water:

The results shows that most of the physicochemical properties including major ion chemistry were found higher in the pre-monsoon followed by post-monsoon and monsoon. The analyzed results for all season are provided in Table 2. The water was found alkaline to some extent in all samples and found highest in post-monsoon samples (8.40±0.08) but

under the permissible limit (BIS, 1991). Seasonal fluctuation in pH regulates the presence of total dissolved solids in water and weathering pattern of rock. Electrical conductivity of a solution or substance is the assessment of capacity to carry the electrical current through the water (Gupta, 2013) which was higher in pre-monsoon samples (0.89 ± 0.06), followed by post-monsoon and monsoon samples respectively (0.68 ± 0.03 and 0.48 ± 0.05).

The estimation of the amount of oxygen dissolved in a liquid media, usually water is known as Dissolved oxygen (DO). DO is inversely proportional to temperature (Arya et. al., 2011), decomposition of organic matter and respiration rate of aquatic organisms (Sahu et. al., 2000; Saksena et. al., 2008). For fresh and healthy water its values should not be less than 6mg/L (BIS, 1991). The study shows that DO value for post-monsoon was 7.59±0.20, for monsoon was 6.37±0.16 and for pre-monsoon it was noted 5.73±0.31, respectively. This indicates that water of Pahuj Reservoir is healthy as well as oxygenated.

In this study, the high concentration of silicate (H_4SiO_2) was found in monsoon. It could be due to a reaction of carbonic acid in rainwater with almost minerals. It reacts at different rates with different mineral as per their stability. In present study, chloride (Cl⁻) is observed as 66±6.15 in pre-monsoon, 54.2±4.77 in post-monsoon and 47.5±7.83 in monsoon. The origination of Cl⁻ in water is rainfall coming from the Arabian Sea brought by monsoon winds. The high concentration of Cl⁻ in post-monsoon may be due to human activities like spreading of combination of salt and sand on road (Thomes, 1986; Stephensons, 1989; Minsk, 1989). The affinity of chloride is high towards sodium (Arya et. al., 2012).

The value of NO₃⁻ was found 9.04±0.50 mg/L in premonsoon, 7.24±0.34 mg/L in post-monsoon and 4.37±0.42 mg/L in monsoon (Table 2). This point out that the water of Pahuj reservoir is clean as the concentration of nitrate is within the permissible limit i.e. 50 mg/L (EEC 1980; WHO 1984). Availability of nitrate in water represents that water is its final stage of mineralization (Nema et. al., 1984). The PO₄³⁻ in the Pahuj water is reported highest in premonsoon i.e. 17.44±0.83 mg/L, followed by 15.66±1.06 in post-monsoon and 12.32±0.83 mg/L in monsoon (Table 2). This may be due to the leaching of fertilizers applied on crops and decomposition of phytoplankton. The concentration of NO_3^- and PO_4^{-3-} may be decrease due to the uptake by phytoplankton. Hence, it can be concluded that availability of NO_3^- and PO_4^{-3-} in water is not due to any direct point source.

 $SO_4^{2^-}$ concentration was found highest as 21.45±0.61 mg/L (Table 2) in post-monsoon season, but in monsoon and pre-monsoon season, the concentration was found relatively low. This could be due to the high dissolution of secondary minerals in the reservoir sediments (Das and Kaur, 2001). Atmospheric deposition and oxidation of sulphur compounds occurs in the bottom sediments at the boundary layer between aerobic and anaerobic environment resulting origination of sulphate in the reservoir.

The abundance of dissolved major cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+) and anions (SO_4^{-2-} , HCO_3^- and CI^-) in water can be modeled in terms of weathering of different minerals. Some scientists (Garrels, 1967) have shown some weathering reactions for minerals such as Dolomite [CaMg(CO_3)], Calcite (CaCO_3), and gypsum (CaSO_4) as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf CaCO_3+H_2CO_3=Ca^{2^+}+2HCO_3}^-\\ {\sf CaMg(CO_3)+2H_2CO_3=Ca^{2^+}+Mg^{2^+}+4H_2CO_3}\\ {\sf CaCO_3+H_2SO_4=Ca^{2^+}+SO_4^{2^-}+H_2CO_3}\\ {\sf CaMg(CO_3)_2+2H_2SO_4=Ca^{2^+}+Mg^{2^+}+2SO_4^{2^-}+2}\\ {\sf H_2CO_3CaSO_4=\{Ca+SO_4\}} \end{array}$

A source of proton is required for the rapid weathering of carbonates. If the weathering agent is carbonic acid, the Ca:HCO₃ ratio would be 1:2 for calcite weathering and 1:4 for dolomite weathering whereas if weathering agent is sulfuric acid, the Ca:SO₄ ratio in the water would be 1:1 for calcite weathering and 1:2 for dolomite weathering (Abu-Rukah and Ghrefat, 2004). In the present study, Ca:HCO₃ ratio is about 1:4, indicating a possibility of dolomite weathering.

Calcium and magnesium was found major cations in the Pahuj Reservoir together contributes 78% of the total cations in pre-monsoon, 77% in monsoon and 76% in post-monsoon. The contribution of sodium and potassium is 22.6% among the major ion budget of the reservoir for all season. In Pahuj Reservoir water, HCO_3^- is the most abundant anion accounting for 39-45% of total anions followed by chloride (30-40%) and phosphate (9-12%). The concentration of HCO_3 was found 81.5 ± 0.84 mg/L in post-monsoon, 70.15 ± 6.43 mg/L in pre-monsoon and 61.86 ± 2.63 mg/L in monsoon season respectively (Table 2). This might be due to weathering of carbonate rocks at surface sediment.

The $(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})/Tz^{+}$ is 0.21 in pre-monsoon, 0.11 in monsoon and 0.13 in summer. This ratio shows the enrichment of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} in the reservoir and indicates the carbonate weathering. The average ratio $(Na^{+}+K^{+})/Tz^{+}$ for pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon are 0.22, 0.23 and 0.24 respectively. This ratio concludes that the carbonate weathering is dominant in comparison to aluminosilicate weathering. The molar Mg²⁺/Ca²⁺ ratio in premonsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon is less than <1.0 indicates a low saturation index and carbonate precipitation. The availability of major cations and anions in monsoon may be due to the atmospheric precipitation but in pre-monsoon and post-monsoon it may be due to the chemical weathering and atmospheric precipitation both (Anshumali and Ramanathan, 2007). Composition of natural water is controlled by chemical weathering (Garrels, 1967; Bricker and Garrels, 1967; Hem, 1985; White, 2002). Natural water accumulates the cations in water as it is the common weathering agent.

3.2 Correlation Matrix:

The correlation matrix the among physico-chemical parameters for pre-monsoon, monsoon and postmonsoon is given in Table 3, 4 and 5 respectively. A strong relationship has been observed between NO₃⁻ and Ca²⁺; HCO₃⁻ and Mg²⁺; DO and K⁺; H₄SiO₂ and NO₃⁻ in pre-monsoon whereas in monsoon pH and EC; TDS and HCO₃⁻, HCO₃⁻ and Mg²⁺; Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ was strongly correlated. H₄SiO₂ and Na⁺; NO₃⁻ and PO₄²; SO₄²⁻ and Ca²⁺ was strongly correlated in post-monsoon.

3.3 Trilinear Explanation/ Piper Diagram:

A trilinear diagram, also known as a Piper diagram (Piper, 1944) gives a easiest way to classify and compare the water type based on the ionic composition of various water samples (Hem, 1985) using Aqua Chem 5.1 software. Cation and anion concentrations for each season samples are plotted in two triangles as percentages of their respective totals and then projected into a quadrilateral polygon that explains the water type or hydrochemical faces. Fig 3a, 3b and 3c represents Piper diagram for pre-monsoon, monsoon and postmonsoon respectively. It also shows that Ca²⁺ and

 Mg^{2+} are the most prevalent cations and HCO_3^- is the dominant anion in Pahuj Reservoir. So, this water could be classified as calcium- bicarbonate type. From the figure it is also clear that most of the samples fall into the normal earth alkaline water group with prevailing bicarbonate and sulphate (Fig 3b). This type of water originates through natural processes by the dissolution of carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere and from the soil horizon which causes the dissolution of the carbonate minerals, dolomite (Ca/MgCO₃)₂, calcite (CaCO₃) of the aquifer (Suk and Lee, 1999).

| Parameter | Pre N | Aonsoon | | Мо | nsoon | | Post Monsoon | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--|
| Parameter | Ave± Std | Min | Max | Ave± Std | Min | Max | Ave± Std | Min | Max | |
| рН | 7.53±0.09 | 7.40 | 7.70 | 6.73±0.13 | 6.50 | 6.90 | 8.4±0.08 | 8.30 | 8.50 | |
| EC | 0.89±0.06 | 0.79 | 0.97 | 0.48±0.05 | 0.41 | 0.55 | 0.68±0.03 | 0.63 | 0.73 | |
| TDS | 630±38.37 | 570.00 | 675.00 | 320.80±25.82 | 280.00 | 360.00 | 430.50±34.96 | 380.00 | 490.00 | |
| DO | 5.73±0.31 | 5.30 | 6.22 | 6.37±0.16 | 6.10 | 6.70 | 7.59±0.20 | 7.30 | 7.90 | |
| H ₄ SiO ₂ | 84±7.75 | 70.00 | 95.00 | 109.5±11.06 | 90.00 | 120.00 | 60.5±4.15 | 55.00 | 65.00 | |
| Cl | 66±6.15 | 50.00 | 70.00 | 47.5±7.83 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 54.2±4.77 | 50.00 | 65.00 | |
| NO ₃ | 9.04±0.50 | 8.20 | 9.50 | 4.37±0.42 | 4.00 | 5.30 | 7.24±0.34 | 6.70 | 7.80 | |
| PO4 ²⁻ | 17.44±0.83 | 15.80 | 18.90 | 12.32±0.83 | 11.00 | 14.20 | 15.66±1.06 | 14.50 | 17.50 | |
| SO4 ²⁻ | 15.84±0.80 | 14.50 | 16.70 | 17.94±0.51 | 17.00 | 18.90 | 21.45±0.61 | 20.00 | 22.00 | |
| HCO ₃ ⁻ | 70.15±6.43 | 60.00 | 80.00 | 61.86±2.63 | 58.50 | 65.80 | 81.5±0.84 | 80.00 | 82.50 | |
| Na⁺ | 9.45±0.60 | 8.00 | 10.00 | 4.09±0.59 | 3.00 | 5.20 | 6.08±0.65 | 5.00 | 7.00 | |
| K | 0.98±0.17 | 0.80 | 1.20 | 0.40±0.04 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.80±0.08 | 0.60 | 0.90 | |
| Ca ⁺² | 20.3±1.57 | 18.00 | 22.00 | 10.55±0.99 | 8.50 | 12.00 | 15.71±1.02 | 14.00 | 17.60 | |
| Mg ⁺² | 16.9±1.52 | 15.00 | 19.00 | 4.83±0.50 | 4.00 | 5.60 | 6.29±0.62 | 5.00 | 7.20 | |
| T _Z ⁺ | 47.63±2.52 | 42.90 | 51.40 | 19.87±1.64 | 16.75 | 21.80 | 28.88±0.73 | 27.60 | 30.30 | |
| Tz | 178.47±7.18 | 163.70 | 187.00 | 143.99±8.76 | 134.15 | 155.80 | 180.05±4.81 | 175.30 | 192.50 | |
| Ca^{2+}/SO_4^{2-} | 1.28±0.10 | 1.08 | 1.38 | 0.59±0.05 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.73±0.04 | 0.67 | 0.80 | |
| Ca^{2+}/HCO_3^{-} | 0.29±0.04 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.17±0.01 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.19±0.01 | 0.17 | 0.22 | |
| Mg ²⁺ /Ca ²⁺ | 0.83±0.10 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 0.46±0.05 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.40±0.05 | 0.29 | 0.47 | |
| $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}/Na^{+}+K^{+}$ | 1.07±0.17 | 0.88 | 1.31 | 0.51±0.05 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.87±0.08 | 0.67 | 0.96 | |
| $Ca^{2+}Mg^{2+}/T_{z}^{+}$ | 0.21±0.02 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.11±0.01 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.12±0.01 | 0.11 | 0.13 | |
| $Na^{+}+K^{+}/T_{z}^{+}$ | 0.22±0.01 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.23±0.02 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.24±0.02 | 0.20 | 0.28 | |
| H_4SiO_2/Na^++K^+ | 8.05±1.05 | 6.73 | 10.11 | 24.38±4.85 | 18.75 | 35.29 | 8.79±0.65 | 7.79 | 10.31 | |

Table 2: Average results of physico-chemical properties of Pahuj Reservoir

• Unit for all parameter is mg/l except EC; EC in µS/cm

| Parameter | рН | EC | TDS | DO | H ₄ SiO ₂ | Cl | NO ₃ | PO4 ²⁻ | SO4 ²⁻ | HCO3 | Na⁺ | K⁺ | Ca ²⁺ | Mg ²⁺ |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|--------|------------------|------------------|
| рН | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC | 0.399 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TDS | -0.168 | -0.560 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DO | -0.112 | -0.209 | -0.016 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| H ₄ SiO ₂ | 0.348 | -0.171 | 0.019 | -0.004 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cl | -0.002 | -0.059 | -0.204 | -0.566 | 0.266 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ | -0.216 | -0.582 | 0.365 | 0.051 | 0.672 | 0.069 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| PO4 ²⁻ | -0.087 | -0.018 | -0.387 | -0.251 | 0.180 | 0.141 | 0.325 | 1 | | | | | | |
| SO4 ²⁻ | 0.100 | 0.561 | -0.692 | -0.135 | 0.322 | 0.113 | -0.063 | 0.111 | 1 | | | | | |
| HCO3 | -0.445 | -0.294 | 0.359 | 0.310 | -0.432 | -0.329 | -0.326 | -0.535 | -0.230 | 1 | | | | |
| Na [⁺] | 0.127 | -0.192 | -0.048 | -0.171 | -0.371 | -0.116 | -0.123 | 0.474 | -0.438 | -0.265 | 1 | | | |
| K⁺ | -0.097 | -0.238 | 0.017 | 0.683 | 0.238 | -0.372 | 0.551 | 0.213 | -0.159 | -0.335 | 0.044 | 1 | | |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.082 | 0.354 | -0.730 | -0.219 | -0.110 | 0.137 | -0.301 | 0.758 | 0.318 | -0.347 | 0.492 | -0.143 | 1 | |
| Mg ²⁺ | -0.438 | 0.105 | 0.000 | 0.286 | -0.762 | -0.613 | -0.491 | -0.146 | -0.097 | 0.716 | 0.055 | -0.138 | 0.060 | 1 |

Table 3: Correlation analysis among all parameters for pre-monsoon

Table 4: Correlation analysis among all parameters for monsoon

| Parameter | рН | EC | TDS | DO | H ₄ SiO ₂ | Cl | NO ₃ ⁻ | PO4 ²⁻ | SO ₄ ²⁻ | HCO ₃ [−] | Na⁺ | K⁺ | Ca ²⁺ | Mg ²⁺ |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|
| рН | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC | 0.67 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TDS | -0.32 | -0.38 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DO | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| H₄SiO₂ | 0.48 | 0.11 | -0.02 | 0.07 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cl | -0.17 | -0.03 | -0.29 | -0.49 | 0.07 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ ⁻ | 0.46 | 0.49 | -0.78 | -0.17 | 0.16 | 0.57 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| PO4 ²⁻ | -0.05 | -0.07 | 0.42 | 0.03 | -0.19 | 0.32 | -0.18 | 1 | | | | | | |
| SO4 ²⁻ | -0.34 | -0.42 | 0.54 | -0.14 | -0.25 | -0.56 | -0.72 | -0.14 | 1 | | | | | |
| HCO3 | -0.09 | -0.54 | 0.63 | -0.23 | 0.21 | 0.06 | -0.26 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 1 | | | | |
| Na [⁺] | 0.24 | 0.13 | -0.22 | -0.32 | -0.21 | 0.31 | 0.56 | -0.16 | -0.12 | 0.07 | 1 | | | |
| K⁺ | -0.01 | 0.54 | -0.34 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.31 | 0.23 | -0.19 | -0.10 | -0.77 | -0.03 | 1 | | |
| Ca ²⁺ | -0.09 | -0.43 | 0.36 | -0.24 | -0.25 | -0.47 | -0.22 | -0.20 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.44 | -0.66 | 1 | |
| Mg ²⁺ | 0.02 | -0.27 | 0.28 | -0.28 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.09 | -0.11 | 0.15 | 0.59 | 0.56 | -0.26 | 0.35 | 1 |

| Parameter | рΗ | EC | TDS | DO | H ₄ SiO ₂ | Cľ | NO ₃ | PO4 ²⁻ | SO ₄ ²⁻ | HCO ₃ | Na⁺ | K⁺ | Ca ²⁺ | Mg ²⁺ |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|
| рН | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EC | 0.33 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TDS | -0.24 | -0.17 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DO | 0.38 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| H₄SiO₂ | 0.16 | -0.35 | -0.07 | 0.07 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cl | -0.41 | -0.29 | 0.48 | -0.35 | 0.45 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.08 | 0.21 | -0.12 | -0.04 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| PO4 ²⁻ | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| 504 ²⁻ | -0.32 | -0.32 | -0.33 | 0.20 | -0.29 | -0.67 | -0.25 | -0.56 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| HCO ₃ | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.36 | -0.64 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Na⁺ | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.46 | -0.49 | 0.42 | 1.00 | | | |
| K⁺ | 0.41 | 0.41 | -0.11 | -0.41 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.44 | 0.01 | -0.31 | 0.12 | -0.10 | 1.00 | | |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.05 | 0.05 | -0.42 | -0.03 | -0.14 | -0.61 | -0.02 | -0.13 | 0.59 | -0.48 | -0.65 | -0.08 | 1.00 | |
| Mg ²⁺ | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.14 | -0.15 | -0.44 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.00 | -0.33 | -0.13 | -0.08 | -0.27 | 1.00 |

Table 5: Correlation analysis among all parameters for post-monsoon



Figure 3a: Piper Diagram for Pre-Monsoon



Figure 3b: Piper Diagram for Monsoon

86 Sharma et al.



Figure 3c: Piper Diagram for Post-Monsoon



Figure 3d: Piper Diagram for Pre-Monsoon, Monsoon and Post-Monsoon

4.0 Conclusion:

This study elucidates the seasonal behavior of major ions that directly or indirectly influence the water chemistry. The physical parameters like pH, EC, TDS and DO were in good quantity and shows Pahuj Reservoir is a fresh water body. The water is slightly alkaline due to weathering of bed rocks. Major ions shows a trend like pre-monsoon> post-monsoon> monsoon. Calcium is dominant cation followed by magnesium. Sodium is dominant over potassium, due to weathering of the silicate minerals of igneous and metamorphic rocks. Most of the sodium comes through weathering of sodium plagioclase but chloride source is due to atmospheric input. Bicarbonate is the major anion followed by sulphate.

Correlation matrix suggests that carbonate weathering is dominated in Pahuj Reservoir followed by silicate weathering. High Ca^{2+}/SO_4^{2-} ratio (>1) would results no reaction between the carbonate mineral and H₂SiO₄ for weathering. Weathering of silicate minerals and carbonate minerals would be the results of $SiO_2/(Na^++K^+)$ and $(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}/HCO_3)$ ratio in water respectively. Dominant cations are represented by $Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+}/T_{2}^{+}$ and $Na^{+}+K^{+}/T_{z}^{+}$ ratio and provide abutment to carbonate minerals in the sediment and catchment area. Seasonal fluctuation in the major cation ratio is the indication of multiple mineral sources. Ion chemistry of water is also influenced by seasonal variation in the environmental factors like rainfall, temperature and wind speed. Physical and chemical change in water may also occur due to the time to time release of water from the reservoir. The Mg^{2+}/Ca^{2+} ratio shows that the impact of carbonate weathering to water chemistry is very high.

Seasonal fluctuations in the major ions control the reservoir activities and reflect the indivisible nature of the biotic and abiotic components running in the reservoir ecosystem.

5.0 Acknowledgement:

The authors are grateful to Department of Biochemistry, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi to provide the necessary facilities to complete this work. The authors also wish to thank the HOD Microbiology, Dr. Rishi Saxena, Bundelkhand University, for their support. Thanks are also due to the University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India for the financial support of this study.

References:

- 1) Abu-Rukah, Y., Ghrefat, H.A. (2004): Ion chemistry of waters impounded by the Ziqlab dam, Jordan, and weathering processes a case study. *International Journal of Environment Pollution*, 21 (3): 263-276.
- Anshumali, Ramanathan A.L. (2007): Seasonal variation in the major ion chemistry of Pandoh Lake, Mandi District, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Applied Geochemistry*, 22(1): 736–1747.
- APHA (1994): Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 18th edition, APHA AWWA. WPCF. (eds) Washington DC.
- Arya, S., Kumar, V., Raikwar, M. Dhaka, A., Minakshi (2011): Physico-chemical analysis of selected surface water samples of Laxmi Tal (Pond) in Jhansi City, UP, Bundelkhand Region, Central India. *Journal of Experimental Sciences*, 2 (8): 1-6.
- 5) Arya, S., Kumar, V., Sharma, S. (2012): Analysis of water quality parameters of groundwater in and around diamond cement industry, Jhansi, Central India. *International Journal of Current Research*, 4 (3): 75-77.
- 6) BIS (1991): Bureau of Indian standard for drinking water. IS: 10500, New Delhi.
- Bricker, O.P., Garrels, R.M. (1967): Mineralogical factors in natural water equilibria. In Principles and Application of Water Chemistry, Faust, S.D., Hunter, J.V. (Eds.); John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 49–469
- Chakrapani, G.J. (2005): Chemical studies of water in the Kumaun Himalayan Lakes. In Aquatic Ecosystems (Conservation, Restoration and Management), Ramachandra TV, Ahalya N, Murthy CR (Eds.); Capital Publishing Company: New Delhi, 147–153.
- Demile, M., Wohnlich, S., Wisotzky, F., Gizaw, B. (2007): Groundwater recharge, flow and hydrogeochemical evolution in a complex volcanic aquifer system, Central Ethiopia. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 15: 1169-1181.
- 10) E.E.C. (1980): Council directive (80/778) on the quality of drinking water.
- 11) Garrels, R.M. (1967): Genesis of some groundwater from igneous rocks. In Researches in Geochemistry; Ableson, P.H. (Ed.); John Wiley and Sons, New York, 05–420.
- Gibbs, R.J. (1970): Mechanisms controlling world water chemistry. Science, 170: 1088-1090.

- Gopal, B. (2005): Identification of Lakes for Conservation and Restoration (Final Report). National River Conservtion Directorate, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India, New Delhi, 234.
- 14) Gupta, N., Yadav, K.K., Kumar, V., Singh, D. (2013): Assessment of Physicochemical Properties of Yamuna River in Agra City. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, 5 (1): 528-531.
- Hem, J.D. (1985): Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water. *Geological Survey of Water Supply*, 2254: 66– 99.
- Kumar, R., Singh, B., Sharma, K.D. (2005): Water resources of India. *Current Science*, 89: 794– 811.
- 17) Minsk, D. (1989): SHRP snow, ice contracts may aid snow fighters. *Roads Bridges*, 27: 48.
- Nema, P., Rajgopalan, S., Mehta, C.G. (1984): Quality and Treatment of Sabarmati River Water Ahmedabad. *Journal of Indian Water Works Association*, 16 (1): 99-107.
- Njenga, J., Ramanathan, A.L., Subramanian, V. (2003): Study of water quality in Lake Naivasha, Kenya. In Recent Trends in Hydrogeochemistry (Case Studies from Surface and Subsurface Waters of Selected Countries), Ramanathan A.L., Ramesh R. (Eds.); Capital Publishing Company: New Delhi, 37–42.
- 20) Piper, A.M. (1944): A graphic procedure in the geochemical interpretation of water analysis. *Trans. Amer. Geophysical Union*, 25: 914-923.
- Sahu, B.K., Rao, R.J., Behara, S.K., Pandit, R.K. (2000): Effect of pollutants on the dissolved oxygen concentration of the river Ganga at Kanpur. In Pollution and biomonitoring of Indian rivers; Trivedy, R.K. (Ed.); ABD Publication, Jaipur, India, 168-170.
- 22) Saksena, D.N., Garg, R.K., Rao, R.J. (2008): Water quality and pollution status of Chambal river in Naional Chambal Sanctuary, Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 29 (5):701-710.
- 23) Stephensons, T.E. (1989): Wisconsin's winter weather system. In Proceedings of the fourth International Conference on Weather and Road Safety, Florence, 61–102.
- 24) Suk, H., Lee, K. (1999): Characterization of a ground water hydrochemical system through multivariate analysis: Clustering into groundwater zones. *Ground Water*, 37: 358-366.

- 25) Tay, C.K. (2012): Hydrochemistry of groundwater in the Savelugu-Nanton District, Northern Ghana. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 67: 2077-2087.
- 26) Thornes, J. (1986): Snow and ice control in the N. America. *Highway Meteorology*, 2, 8–18.
- 27) Tiwari, A.K., Singh, A.K. (2014): Hydrogeochemical investigation and groundwater quality assessment of Pratapgarh district, Uttar Pradesh. *Journal Geological Society of India*, 83: 329-343.
- 28) White, A.F. (2002): Determining mineral weathering rates based on solid and solute weathering gradients and velocities: application to biotite weathering in saprolites. *Chem. Geol*, 190: 69-89.
- 29) Wirmvem, M.J., Ohba, T., Fantong, W.Y., Ayonghe, S.N., Suila, J.Y., Asaah, A.N.E., Tanyileke, G., Hell, J.V. (2013): Hydrochemistry of shallow groundwater and surface water in the Ndop plain, North West Cameroon. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 7 (6): 518-530.
- 30) World Health Organization (1984): Guidelines for drinking water quality. vol. 1, Recommendations, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 31) Yadav, K.K., Gupta, N., Kumar, V., Arya, S., Singh, D. (2012): Physico-chemical analysis of selected ground water samples of Agra city, India. *Recent Research in Science and Technology*, 4 (11): 51-54.
- 32) Yadav, K.K., Gupta, N., Kumar, V., Sharma, S., Arya, S. (2014): Water Quality Assessment of Pahuj River using Water Quality Index at Unnao Balaji, M.P., India. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*, 19 (1): 241-250.
- 33) Yusuf, K.A., Olewole, S.O., Abdusalam, I.O., Majolagbe, A.O. (2013): Assessment of Spatial Variation of Surface Water Quality in Lagos, Using Multivariate Statistical Techniques. Journal of Environment, 2 (4): 94-102.